No.



9200278

THE UNITED STANTES OF ANTERIOA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

IIR Cooperatibe

Mixters, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED, PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY (OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR PORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE VE PURPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT ED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'FFR 525W'

In Jestimonn Marrest, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Hunt Variety Yestection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twenty-ninth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-six.

Marshe A. Hanto. Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Actival Warberting, Springer

Par Gelisteman

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, DIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRIC AGRICULTURAL MARKETING :	SERVICE		Application is required in order to determine it a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421)
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY F		N CERTIFICATE	Information is held confidential unti- certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NO.	3. VARIETY NAME
FFR COOPERATIVE		82-52-52	FFR 525W
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P.O. Box 322			PVPO NUMBER
Battle Ground, IN 47920		317/567-2115	0000000
		- '	92002/8
•			р Date
6 CENTIC AND COLCUE MANY	=		Deptember 28, 199,
	FAMILY NAME (Botan	ical)	N 17:20 D. L.
Triticum aestivum	Gramineae		G 12:32 A.M. LIP.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	F Filing and Examination Fee:
Wheat. Common		September 1, 1989	s 152/50.
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZAT			B Lept 25 1992
Corporation			E Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION			1 300.00
	. 12, 0	ATE OF INCORPORATION	V Date
Wisconsin		1960	5 2-21-96
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERV Frank Spelbring	VE IN THIS APPLICAT	ION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS	
FFR COOPERATIVE	.s		
P.O. Box 322	*	317/567-2115	
Battle Ground, IN 47920			
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Follow IN:	ISTRUCTIONS on sour	PHONE (Include area cod	'e):
a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.	311100110143 011 1846		
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.			
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.			
d. X Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.			
e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership.			
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed Sam	ple mailed to Plant	Variety Protection Office	
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Treast	urer of the United S	itates."	
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY Protection Act.) YES (II "YES," answer items 16 and 17 below)		LY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (Se NO, " skip to item 18 below)	e section 83(a) of the Plant Variety
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODU	CTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
YES NO	; n	UNDATION REGIST	
	i [] FO	UNDATION REGIST	ERED CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY	Y IN THE U.S.?	u.	
YES (If "YES," Ihrough Plant Variety Protection Act	Patent Act. Give di	ate: .}	The state of the s
Ď NO			
10 HACTUE VADIETY RECHARGE LIGHT AND AREA OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO			
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKE			
X YES (II "YES," give names of countries and dates) US, Se	eptember 30	, 1991	
	····		
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds o request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable.	le.		
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexu uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled to p	protection under t	he provisions of section 42 of the F	Plant Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein or	an jeopardize pro	tection and result in penalties.	A. の 好 pull in 和 pull
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT JOHNEY(S)]	CAPACITY OR	TITLE	DATE
LANVE DO WHIMMA	. Vice P	resident, Marketing	September 18, 1992
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (pwner(s))	CAPACITY OR		DATE 18, 1992

Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History

Using a modified bulk breeding system, FFR 525W was selected in the $\rm F_5$ generation from the cross of Coker 68-15 x VA71-54-21. VA71-54-21 was a selection from the cross of Taylor *2//Norin10/Brevor/3/? (Pollen parent in greenhouse cross was not recorded). Individual plant selections were made for acceptable agronomic characters such as height, maturity and resistance to diseases present in the $\rm F_5$ nursery.

FFR 525W was entry 52 in the 1982 preliminary yield trial and was tested under the designation VA82-52-52. Data from early trials showed good yielding ability, but poor resistance to powdery mildew strains common to Virginia. When an agreement to make varieties available to FFR Cooperative was arranged in 1986, VA82-52-52 was sent to FFR for evaluation.

FFR entered VA82-52-52 into company run trials in 1986 and began a small increase (25' x 100') that was rogued for visual deviants and harvested in 1987. A one acre increase was harvested the following summer in Indiana with several deviant tall plants being rogued from the increase. For the 1989 harvest, a 20 acre field was grown in Tennessee, while a small increase was grown in Indiana for further roguing and purification. Seed from the 20 acre field was further increased for field evaluation in 1990 and market introduction in 1991. At the same time, Breeder seed increases have been grown and rogued in Indiana each year. Since 1989, the variety has appeared uniform and stable.

Roguing over years has nearly eliminated the tall variant plant that persisted, however it still exits at a low frequency. This variant and others for head type do not exceed 1.5% of the population.

Exhibit B. Novelty Statement

FFR 525W is similar to the variety Wakefield, but differs in that Wakefield has purple coleoptiles while FFR 525W has white coleoptiles.

EXHIBIT C

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20785

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

	TICUM SPP.)
FFR Cooperative	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	9200278
4112 East State Road 225	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY
West Lafayette, IN 47906	DESIGNATION
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	FFR 525
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal characte Place a zero in first box (e.s. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when number	r of this variety in the boxes below. is either 99 or less or 9 or less.
1. KIND:	
1 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5	= POLISH 6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE: 2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify)	1 = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify) 2 = HARD
Z 1 - 37 Ains 2 - MATER 3 - OTHER (Specity)	
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)	· ·
3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
FIRST FLOWERING	LAST FLOWERING
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	
0 2 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN Wakefield	8 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
1 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):	
1 00 2 cm. нібн	
Clark	
CM. TALLER THAN . Clark	7 3 - SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
2 CM. SHORTER THAN . Wakefield.	1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):	7. ANTHER COLOR:
1 1 = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN	1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE
8. STEM:	
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Interpodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
0 4 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground)	2 3 CM INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF
9. AURICLES:	
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Hairiness: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
10. LEAF:	
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	1 Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
Hairs of first leaf sheath: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
1 1 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flag leaf)	2 0 CM. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf):

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan should be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety.

Exhibit D. Additional Description

FFR 525W is a high yielding wheat variety well adapted to mid and deep south environments east of the appalachian chain. It is a beardless variety exhibiting large heads, medium sized kernels and excellent test weights. It is medium-early in maturity, approximately two days earlier than Wakefield. FFR 525W is slightly shorter than Wakefield and has displayed excellent standability throughout the adapted region. Its good height and short awns makes it well suited to straw baling after harvest.

FFR 525W has exhibited good levels of winterhardiness, Similar to Caldwell and Wakefield. It has moderate levels of resistance to many prevalent diseases including Septoria, leaf and stem rusts and powdery mildew strains found the the mid-south. FFR 525W should be planted after the fly-free date at normal seeding rates. It is not recommended for the wet soils found in south central Louisiana.

Roguing over years has nearly eliminated off-type plants that persisted, however a tall plant still exits at a low frequency. This deviant and others for head type do not exceed 1.5% of the population.

FFR COOPERATIVE Wheat Testing Locations

City	State
Attica	OH
Barclay	MD
Delphos	OH
Flora	IL
Fremont	NC
Greensburg	IN
Greensburg	OH
Jackson	TN
Lafayette	IN
Providence Forge	VA
Springfield	OH
Stoneville	MS
Union City	TN
Windfall	IN

9/14/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for MATURITY (1 = early; 9 = late)

Variety Test Laf Sto Fre Jac Pro # AVG 1988 CALDWELL 2.0 1 2.0 FFR 525W 4.7 1 4.7 WAKEFIELD 3.3 3.0 5.3 3 3.9 FFR 525W 4.0 1.0 2.0 3 2.3 WAKEFIELD 5.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL 3.7 3.0 2 3.5 FFR 525W 4.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0 FFR 525W 3.7 3.0 1.7 6.7 4.7 5 4.0			IN	MS	NC	TN	VA	-Summ	-
CALDWELL 2.0 1 2.0 FFR 525W 2.7 1 2.7 WAKEFIELD 4.7 1 4.7 CALDWELL 3.3 3.0 5.3 3 3.9 FFR 525W 4.0 1.0 2.0 3 2.3 WAKEFIELD 5.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL 3.7 3.0 2 3.5 FFR 525W 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 3.7 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 1990 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0	Variety		Laf	Sto	Fre	Jac	Pro	#	AVG
FFR 525W 2.7 1 2.7 WAKEFIELD 4.7 1 4.7 CALDWELL FFR 525W WAKEFIELD 3.3 3.0 5.3 3 3.9 CALDWELL FFR 525W WAKEFIELD 3.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL FFR 525W WAKEFIELD 3.7 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0	CALDWELL	1,00	2.0						
TALDWELL 1989 CALDWELL 3.3 3.0 5.3 3 3.9 FFR 525W 4.0 1.0 2.0 3 2.3 WAKEFIELD 5.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL 3.7 3.3 2 3.5 FFR 525W 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0									
CALDWELL 3.3 3.0 5.3 3 3.9 FFR 525W 4.0 1.0 2.0 3 2.3 WAKEFIELD 5.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL 3.7 3.3 2 3.5 FFR 525W 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0	WAKEFIELD		4.7					1	4./
FFR 525W 4.0 1.0 2.0 3 2.3 WAKEFIELD 5.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL 3.7 3.3 2 3.5 FFR 525W 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0		1989			•			•	2 0
THR 525W WAKEFIELD 1990 CALDWELL 5.7 3.0 4.7 3 4.5 CALDWELL 5.7 3.3 2 3.5 FFR 525W WAKEFIELD 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0									
TALDWELL 1990 CALDWELL 3.7 3.3 2 3.5 FFR 525W 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0									
CALDWELL 3.7 3.3 2 3.5 FFR 525W 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0	WAKEFIELD		3.7			3.0	· · /	,	
FFR 525W 2.0 1.7 2 1.9 WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0		1990						_	
WAKEFIELD 4.0 3.0 2 3.5 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0									
1991 CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0									
CALDWELL 5.0 9.0 7.7 8.3 5.0 5 7.0	WAKEFIELD	•	4.0				3.0	~	0.0
CALDWELL	•	1991						_	- A
EED 525W 3 7 3 0 1 / 6 / 4 / 2 4 · 0		•							
	FFR 525W								
WAKEFIELD 5.7 5.3 3.0 5.3 3.7 5 4.6	WAKEFIELD		3.7	3.3	3.0	2.3	5.1	2	,
1992		1992		•	٠			_	
CALDWELL 8.0 2.7 6.3 6.0 4 5.8									
PPR 525W									
WAKEFIELD 8.0 1.7 8.0 4.7 4 5.6	WAKEFIELD			8.0	1.7	0.0	7.1	•	5.0
Column Summaries Per Variety	Column	Summaries						0	
IN MS NC TN VA -Summary- Laf Sto Fre Jac Pro # AVG									
	, CAT DWD	r r							
CALDWELL 3.5 8.5 5.2 5.9 4.9 15 5.2 FFR 525W 3.1 4.2 2.0 4.1 2.7 15 3.2									
WAKEFIELD 5.0 6.7 2.4 5.4 4.0 15 4.7									

9/14/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE Wheat Varieties H	Variety	CALDWELL FFR 525W WAKEFIELD	Column Summ CALDWELL FFR 525W WAKEFIELD				
ead-t	Test	1900	1989	1990	1991	1992	Summaries L W LD
o-Head	IL Flo	i f		2.4 7.7 3.3	1.3	f	Per IL Flo 2.0 3.5
Comp	IN Laf	1 1 1	2.3		. 1 1 1		Variet IN Laf 2.3 2.3 3.0
Head-to-Head Comparison	Win	2.7	! ! !	1 1 1		1 1 1	y Win 2.7 2.7 3.0
for L	MD Bar	3.0	3.3		1 1 1		MD Bar 3.1 3.0
for LODGING	MS Sto	1 1 1		! ! !	2.0	 	MS Sto 2.0 1.7 2.3
(1 = 0	NC Fre	1 1 1	1 1 1		4 4 . 0 3 6 6	2.0	NC Fre 3.2
= erect; 5	OH De 1	[1.7 2.3 1.0	 	1 1 1	OH Del 1.7 2.3 1.0
= lodged)	Spr	1 1 1	[1]	23.3			Spr 3.3 2.3
(pa	TN Jac	1.0	1 1 1	1.0	1.3	[] [TN Jac 1.1 3.0
	Uni	1 1 1	2.3		! ! !		Uni 2.3 2.7 2.3
	VA Pro	4.0 3.0	7.3 6.3 5.3	1 1 1	3.3	1.0	VA Pro 4.0 3.3
	-Summary- # AVC	444	444	444	יט יט יט	000	-Summary # AV 19 2. 19 3.
,	ary- AV(999	w w 4	73.5	2.2.		nary AV 2. 3.

9/14/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for HEIGHT (Inches)

-1	/G		4.				.5			.3	.7			∞.	.1	.3		.5	.5			\sim	>	.3		•
mmar)	# AVG	5	35.	9		[-	36.	!~			Ç	9		37	9	∞		37.	S	∞		H	₹	9 36	35	7
-Sui	#	4	4	4		4	4	4		₩.	5	3		ന	n	ťΩ		e	e	n		- Su	#	19	19	19
·VА	Pro	∞.	39.3	0		9.	38.3	0		34.7	, .	3		35.3	∞	9		41.3	·.	.		VA	Pr	37.8	·	∞
TN	Uni					∞	35.0	9		38.3	4	∞		ŀ	i	1		1	1	1		ŢN	Uni	38.1	4	_
	Spr	35.3		5.		· [[1		i	1	İ		1	l i	 		!	! !	1			Ωį	35.3	5.	5
НО	De l	l l	1	1	•	1		-		34.0	5	∞		1	1			-	1	1	:		a)	34.0	5.	&
NC	Frе	1		1		i	1	1		34.7	7	· ~		40.7	0	∞		35.3	щ	α		NC	FI	36.9	5.	7 .
MD	Ваг	38.3	∞	.		4.	35.7	9	٠	1	l i	1		1	!	I			i	1		WD Q		36.5	<u>.</u>	9.
	Win	29.7	∞	0		I	1			30.0	3	S.		ļ	!	1		1	1	1	۶		-	29.9	• —	2
ZI	Laf	t t		i I		∞.	37.0	<u>.</u>			† †	!		37.3	∞ .	0			1	1	et	Z	Laf	37.6	7	6
IL	F10		1	I I		Į Į	!	 		 	1	1		1	1	!		36.0	•	37.0	Per.	IL	FIo	36.0	34.5	37.0
	Test 1988)			1989				1990				1991				1992				Column Summaries			1	M	LD
	Variety	CALDWELL	FFR 525W	WAKEFIELD		CALDWELL	FFR 525W	WAKEFIELD		CALDWELL	FFR 525W	WAKEFIELD		CALDWELL	FFR 525W	WAKEFIELD	• .	CALDWELL	FFR 525W	WAKEFIELD	Column			CALDWELL	FFR 525W	WAKEFIELD

9/21/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE
Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for WINTER KILL (Percent Killed)

		IL	IN	OH		-Sum	mary-
Variety	Test	Flo	Gre	Att	Spr	#	AVG
	1988				_		
CALDWELL					23.3	1	23.3
FFR 525W					33.3	. 1	33.3
WAKEFIELD					30.0	1	30.0
	1989						
CALDWELL					30.0	1	30.0
FFR 525W					13.3	1	13.3
WAKEFIELD					20.0	1	20.0
	1992						
CALDWELL		20.0	53.0	30.0		3	34.3
FFR 525W		47.0	77.0	50.0		3	58.0
WAKEFIELD		33.0	77.0	40.0		.3	50.0
	_						
Column Sum	maries			У			
		IL	IN	OH			mary-
	-	Flo	Gre	Att	Spr	#	AVG
CALDWELL	and the second s	20.0	53.0	30.0	26.7	5	31.3
FFR 525W		47.0	77.0	50.0	23.3		44.1
WAKEFIELD		33.0	77.0	40.0	25.0	5	40.0

9/14/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE
Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for SEPTORIA (1 = resistant; 9 = susceptible)

							•		,			-,
Variety	Test	IL Flo	IN Gre	NC Fre	OH De l	Spr	TN Jac	Uni	VA Pro	-Sumi	mary- AVG	
CALDWELL	1989						2.0	2.0		2	2.0	
FFR 525W			****				3.0	2.7		2	2.9	
WAKEFIELD							2.3	2.0		2	2.1	
	1990											
CALDWELL			6.0		7.0	5.0				3	6.0	
FFR 525W			3.0		3.0	2.0				3	2.7	
WAKEFIELD			2.0	·	1.0	1.0				3	1.3	
	1991											
CALDWELL		7.0								1	7.0	
FFR 525W		6.0								1	6.0	
WAKEFIELD		2.0								1	2.0	
	1992											
CALDWELL				5.7					7.3	2	6.5	
FFR 525W				6.3					6.3	2	6.3	
WAKEFIELD				3.7					7.7	2	5.7	
Column Sun	maries	Per	Variety	,								
		IL	ΙN	NC	OH		TN		VA	-Sum	nary-	
•		Flo	Gre	Fre	Del	Spr	Jac	Uni	Pro	#	AVG	
CALDWELL		7.0	6.0	5.7	7.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	7.3	8	5.3	
FFR 525W		6.0	3.0	6.3	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	6.3	8	4.0	
WAKEFIELD		2.0	2.0	3.7	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.0	7.7	8	2.7	

9/14/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for LEAF RUST (1 = resistant; 9 = susceptible)

		TT	TNI	_ C11mr	noru-
_		IL	IN		nary-
Variety	Test	Flo	Gre	#	AVG
	1990				
CALDWELL			1.0	1	1.0
FFR 525W			3.0	1	3.0
			3.0	1	3.0
11444444					
	1991				
CATOWELL	1001	1 0		1	1.0
				J. 1	
				1	
WAKEFIELD		1.0		1	1.0
Column Sum	mmaries	Per	Varie	tу	
		ΙL	IN	-Sum	mary-
		Flo	Gre	#	AVG
CALDWELL		1.0	1.0	2	1.0
FFR 525W		1.0	3.0	2	2.0
				2	2.0
FFR 525W WAKEFIELD CALDWELL FFR 525W WAKEFIELD COlumn Sum	1991 mmaries	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Per IL Flo	3.0 3.0 Varie IN Gre	1 1 1 1 1 -Sum # 2 2	3.0 3.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0

9/14/92 (pvp525.txt)

FFR COOPERATIVE

CALDWELL

Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for P. MILDEW (1 = resistant; 9 = susceptible) ΙN NC ОН VA -Summary-ProVariety Test Gre Fre Spr AVG 1988 CALDWELL 4.7 4.7 1 FFR 525W 8.7 8.7 1 WAKEFIELD 2.0 2.0 1990 CALDWELL 4.0 3.0 6.7 3 4.6 FFR 525W 3.0 2.0 9.0 4.7 3 WAKEFIELD 3.0 1.0 8.3 3 4.1 1991 CALDWELL 5.0 8.0 2 6.5 FFR 525W 8.7 8.7 2 8.7 WAKEFIELD 7.0

7.3

4.3

2

1

7.2

4.3

				7	L,	
FFR 525W		***		8.7	1	8.7
WAKEFIELD				4.7	1	4.7
Column Summaries	Per	Variety				
	IN	NC	OH	VA	-Sumi	nary-
	Gre	Fre	Spr	Pro	#	AVG
CALDWELL	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.9	7	5.1
FFR 525W	3.0	8.7	2.0	8.8	7	7.0
WAKEFIELD	3 0	7 0	1 0	5 6	7	1 Q

1992

FFR COOPERATIVE

Wheat Varieties Head-to-Head Comparison for G-BLOTCH

(1 = resistant; 9 = susceptible)

•		IL	IN	ОН		-Sum	mary-
· •···· = - · •	Γest 1990	Flo	Gre	Del	Spr	#	AVG
CALDWELL			4.0	7.0	3.0	3	4.7
FFR 525W			1.0	1.0	1.0	3	1.0
WAKEFIELD			3.0	3.0	1.0	3	2.3
į l	1991						
CALDWELL		6.0	,			1	6.0
FFR 525W		3.0				1	3.0
WAKEFIELD		5.0				1	5.0
Column Summa	ries	Per	Variety				
		ΙĻ	IN	OH			mary-
		Flo	Gre	Del	Spr	#	AVG
CALDWELL		6.0	4.0	7.0	3.0	4	5.0
FFR 525W		3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	4	1.5
WAKEFIELD		5.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	4	3.0



ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION

FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING BUALITY

3LACKSBURG, VIRGINIA

TELD TEST

STANDARD = 819, MASSEY

.AB IO.	ENTRY	MILLIN QUALIT SCORE		BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMB. CUPLITY SCORE	MICRO TEST WT. KG.HL	SOFTNESS EQUIV.	FLOUR YIELD	ASH	FLOUR PROTEIN	MICRO AWRC	COOKIE DIAMETER	TOP GRAIN
₹ ₹‡	STANDARD	100	A	100 A	100 A	82.3	56.5	71.9	. 33	9.5	53.4	17.7	4
 ### ,	BENCHMARK	105.4	A	108.9 A	105.4 A	78 . 6⊁	61.4	73.6	. 35	7.9	52.9	18.2	4
816	VA 82-52-52	97	В	82.5 E	82.5 E	84.2	56.4	70.8 *	. 32	11.1*	55.1*	17.4*	4
817	SALUDA	99.1	B	80.8 E	80.8 E	85.2	57.5	71.1*	. 32	10.6*	55.8*	17.3+	4
818	TYLER	99.5	B	94.8 C	94.8 C	82.8	54.8	72	. 33	9.10	54.1	17.6	4
819	MASSEY	100	A	100 A	100 A	82.3	56.5	71.9	. 33	9.5	53.4	17.7	4

EURG, VIRGINIA

DATA RANKED ACCORDING TO COMBINED QUALITY SCORE

. 9B 0.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMB. QUALITY SCORE	MICRO TEST WT. KG/HL	SOFTNESS EQUIV.	FLOUR YIELD	ASH	FLOUR PROTEIN	MICRO	COOKIE DIAMETER	TOP GRAIN
₹ ₹ ¥	Benchmark	105.4 A	108.9 A	105.4 A	78 . 6∗	51.4	73.6	. 35	7.9	52.9	18.2	
319	MASSEY	100 · A	100 A	100 A	82.3	56.5	71.9	.33	9.5	53.4		4
₹ ₩	STANDARD	100 A	100 A	100 A	82.3	56.5	71.9	.33	9.5	53.4	17.7	4.
318	TYLER	99.5 B	94.8 C	94.8 C	82.8	54.8	72	. 33	9.10	54.1	17.7 17.6	9
3 16 .	VA 82-52-52	97 B	82.5 E	82.5 E	84.2	56.4	·70.8*	.32	11.1*	55. i*		4
317	SALUDA	99.1 B	80.8 E	80.8 E	85.2	57.5	71.1+	.32	10.6+	55.8+	17.3+	4

ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION

FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING QUALITY 1987 CROP

WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

STANDARD = 823, CALDWELL

LAB NO.	ENTRY	MILLIN QUALIT SCORE	_	BAKING QUALIT SCORE		COMB. QUALIT SCORE		MICRO TEST WT. KG.HL	SOFTNESS EQUIV.	FLOUR YIELD	ASH	FLOUR PROTEIN	MICRO AWRC	COOKIE DIAMETER	Top Grain
****	STANDARD	100	A	100	A	100	A	77.1	59.1	70.9	.28	8.60	55	18.2	5
****	BENCHMARK	97.1	B	99.4	B	97.1		78.6	61.4*	73.6	.35*	7.9	52 . 9	18.2	5
820	VA 82-52-52	99.2	B	92.9	C	92.9		79.5	65.7	70.9	.28	9.39*	55.3	18.1	5
821	PIONEER 2551	91.1	C	83.7	E	83.7		76.6	60.6*	70.5	.32*	9.39*	53.6	17.6*	4
822	TYLER	97.2	B	79.3	F	79.3		81.4	58.2*	71.9	.31	9.7 *	53.4	17.5*	3*
823	CALDWELL	100	A	100	A	100	A	77.1	69.1	70.9	.28	8.60	55	18.2	5

DATA RANKED ACCORDING TO COMBINED QUALITY SCORE

WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

LAB NO.	ENTRY	MILLIN QUALIT SCORE		BAKING QUALIT SCORE		COMB. QUALIT SCORE		MICRO TEST WT. KG/HL	SOFTNESS EQUIV.	FLOUR YIELD	ASH	FLOUR PROTEIN	MICRO AWRC	COOKIE DIAMETER	TOP GRAIN
823	CALDWELL	100	A	100	A	100	А	77 . i	69. 1	70.9	.28	8.60	55	18.2	5
****	STANDARD	100	А	100	A	100	A	77.1	69.1	70.9	.28	8.60	55	18.2	5
****	BENCHMARK	97.1	В	93.4	В	97.1	₿	78.6	61.4*	73.6	. 35*	7.9	52.9	18.2	5
820	VA 82-52-52	99.2	В	92.9	C	92.9	ε	79.5	65.7	70.9	.28	9.39*	55.3	18.1	5
821	PIONEER 2551	91.1	3	83.7	Ε	83.7	E	76.6	60.6*	70.5	.32*	9.39*	53.6	17.6*	5
822	TYLER	97.2	В	79.3	F	79.3	F	81.4	58.2*	71.9	.31	9.7 *	53.4	17.5 *	5*

Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

FFR 525W was bred and developed by plant Breeders at Virginia Polytechnic Insititute and State University (VPI) at Blacksburg, Virginia. Under an agreement between FFR cooperative and VPI, exclusive, proprietary rights were granted to FFR in a letter dated September 27, 1987, signed by T. M. Starling and approved by Robert Q. Cannell, Head of the Department of Agronomy.